

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

WILLIAM LEAK,  
Plaintiff,

CIVIL ACTION

v.

SUPERINTENDENT MICHAEL CLARK,  
et al., THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY OF  
THE COUNTY OF PHILADELPHIA AND  
THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE  
STATE OF PENNSYLVANIA,  
Defendants.

NO. 17-2608

**ORDER**

AND NOW, this 29th day of January, 2025, upon consideration of the  
Petitioner's Motion for Relief from Final Order and Judgment Under Rule 60(b) (ECF No. 94)  
there being no timely objection thereto, and after review of the Report and Recommendation of  
United States Magistrate Judge Pamela A. Carlos (ECF 133), it is hereby **ORDERED** that:

1. The Report and Recommendation is **APPROVED** and **ADOPTED**;
2. The Motion for Relief from Final Order and Judgment Under Rule 60(b) (ECF  
No. 94) is **DENIED WITH PREJUDICE**;
3. Leak's Remaining Motions (ECF Nos. 109, 110, 123, 124, 125) are  
**DENIED WITH PREJUDICE**; and
4. There is no basis to issue a certificate of appealability.

The Clerk of Court shall mark this case **CLOSED**.

**BY THE COURT:**

**S/ WENDY BEETLESTONE**

---

**WENDY BEETLESTONE, J.**